

SCRUM & Testing: Back to the Future

by Erik van Veenendaal

Food for thought

One of the new methods currently being applied in many organizations is SCRUM, an agile method of project management that uses incremental work cycles known as sprints to provide frequent opportunities to assess and revise direction. As so often, however, it is simple but not easy! The SCRUM method doesn't say much about testing and in most books only unit testing and user acceptance testing are addressed. Have integration and system testing now become obsolete? Developers and business representatives should within agile development do the major part of the testing activities. It seems like utopia, but what does it look like in real-life? Exploratory testing is often identified as the main technique; what about our traditional test design techniques - are they no longer needed? These and many more questions need to be addressed when moving from a traditional development methodology to an agile methodology, such as SCRUM. When reading this column you may get the impression that I'm against SCRUM, agile development and the like. You could not be more wrong, but I would like to point out some testing issues from practice that one encounters when starting to apply SRCRUM. In other words; "food for thought" for the reader when moving from a traditional development methodology to agile development / SCRUM. By the way, my personal experiences are not from the games and internet industry, like many simplified stories one hears at conferences, but from more critical environments such as finance, embedded software and medical systems.

Test professionals

One needs to assess the current set of testers and decide whether they are "fit for agile".

Within agile testing, quality is the team's responsibility. The test analysts now have a different role. In addition to testing, they have to coach business representatives and developers on testing issues, review unit tests, etc. The tester is also involved in estimation sessions, defining the exit criteria (definition-of-done), reviewing stories and making them testable. All of this requires a senior person with good communication skills. We almost require ISTQB Advanced Level testers; persons that understand and are able to explain technical testing, business testing, test design and test management issues. What do we do with our junior testers? What do we do if we do not have senior testers with the right skills? Lisa Crispin in her book "Agile Testing" defines a number of qualities that agile testers should possess: deliver value to customers, have courage, respond to change, continuous feedback, etc. If you study those in more detail, there is little to no difference to what I would call a senior test analyst (in a traditional environment). If we analyse things, Lisa Crispin says that we need real professional senior testers with the right knowledge, skills and mindset. So what is new? Doesn't this sound familiar?

Developers

Within agile software development, unit testing is essential. Why is it essential only in agile, and why does it now get all the focus? It should have been essential in every lifecycle

methodology! Can developers all of a sudden apply test design techniques? Most of them have never had any training in structured testing, not even at Foundation Level. Unit testing is not as easy as it seems, understanding test design is something not so common for most developers. Writing test code that makes the code fail as in XP does provide structural coverage, but not functional coverage. Getting developers into testing, needs a practical approach. We at Improve Quality Services often provide workshops with hands-on real-life practical cases to get them started. Test automation, essential with any incremental development methodology, is also needed, but as we as test professionals have already known for years, still proves to be a challenge for most organizations for various reasons. All we are saying is, we need high quality unit tests and fully automated regression tests. Things that have never been easy in the past. So what is new? Doesn't this sound familiar?

Test levels and test types

Most books on agile (testing) have a strong focus on unit testing and user acceptance testing. Whatever happened to good old integration and system testing? What about system integration testing in a systems-of-systems environment? Of course, there is not just one answer, since these terms mean different things in different organizations. The main problem I have with this approach is that again, after all these years, we have to re-explain to management why integration testing and system testing are needed. Caper Jones has already taught us that unit testing doesn't get far beyond a Defect Detection Percentage (DDP) of 35%



Erik van Veenendaal is a leading international consultant and trainer, and recognized expert is the area of software testing and quality management. He is the director of Improve Quality Services BV. At EuroStar 1999, 2002 and 2005, he was awarded the best tutorial presentation. In 2007 he received the European Testing Excellence Award for his contribution to the testing profession over the years. He has been working as a test manager and consultant in software quality for almost 20 years.

He has written numerous papers and a number of books, including "The Testing Practitioner", "ISTQB Foundations of Software Testing" and "Testing according to TMap". Erik is also a former part-time senior lecturer at the Eindhoven University of Technology, the vice-president of the International Software Testing Qualifications Board and the vice chair of the TMMi Foundation.

and together with only validation-oriented use cases as used in user acceptance testing, that just doesn't get the job done! Professional test cases using decision tables or the classification tree method are still required in many instances. Also, exploratory testing has its limitations (sorry, James). Some test levels or test types may take place outside a sprint, which seems impossible according to the agile theory, but this is what I see successfully happening in practice. Reliability and performance testing for example are often test types that run for more than four weeks and organizing an operational acceptance test or a system integration test within a sprint has been shown to be very difficult in practice. As a result, in practice some of the testing often takes place outside the SCRUM sprint. The main issue will then be to align the testing efforts and approaches. What needs to be done? Establish a test strategy that covers all testing activities (levels and types). To unambiguously define testing is usually a huge step in the right direction. This has been the issue in the past with the V-model and is also needed for agile software development. So what is new? Doesn't this sound familiar?

Many more challenges

The issues raised above are be no means the full list. I had to prioritize, keep it short and "write agile". Some other issues to be considered:

- What happens to the test manager, whose role is now obsolete?
- If we deliver software every four weeks, there will be stressful release periods more often. What does this mean for those involved?
- How do we organize agile testing with distributed teams, and how does it fit with outsourcing?
- Does management really understand the background to the agile manifesto? Even within SCRUM, there are limitations to change!
- How easy is it to get the right business representatives on-board and get them to do some testing? (In my experience, even reviewing test cases and providing input to a product risk analysis is often asking too much.)
- Etc.

The manifestos

Again, I would like to emphasize I'm not against SCRUM. It just isn't as easy as explained in the books and presented by some of the so-called gurus. If you are able to handle some or all of the issues, SCRUM projects have shown to be very successful. It usually helps enormously to have a detailed discussion about the agile manifesto with all those involved. What does it really mean? It is all

about the philosophy behind the manifesto, understanding what is meant, and not about the actual wordings. Someone who really understands the agile manifesto, may even notice there is a lot of similarities with the test process improvement manifesto [Testing Experience, Issue 4, 2008]

- Flexibility over Detailed Processes
- Best Practices over Templates
- Deployment orientation over Process orientation
- Reviews over Quality Assurance (departments)
- · Business-driven over Model-driven

Most of these statements can easily be adapted to agile software development. So what is really new? I "even" have a number of clients at TMMi levels 2 or 3, that practice SCRUM. In fact, those organizations that have the structured testing basics in place seem to be more successful at SCRUM than others. Again "food for thought"......

I hope this column makes you aware of some of the testing issues that come with the implementation of SCRUM in an organization or project. Discuss them with team members inside and outside testing and management, and find practical result-driven solutions. Good luck in mastering SCRUM.



STOCKHOLM, 30 NOV-03 DEC

EuroSTAR 2009
Are YOU 'Testing for Real?'
Can YOU afford
NOT to be there?

EuroSTAR 2009 is Europe's Largest Software Testing Conference & Expo! It is currently in it's 17th year and includes an incredible line up of inspirational testing experts & the very latest insights in world-class testing. Visit the website for full programme details.

The theme for EuroSTAR 2009 is...

'Testing for Real, Testing for Now'

Send us your suggestion for the EuroSTAR 2010 theme to be in with a chance to WIN one of 2 FREE Conference places to Stockholm later this year!

Enter online before September 25th at...

www.eurostarconferences.com/competitions/enter-testexp.aspx

Book before September 25th to receive a 10% discount on conference fees. Register your test team now to avail of great Group Discounts!

EuroSTAR Online Community coming soon – Join the debate! Forum, Blog, Facebook, Archives, Resources and much more...



www.eurostarconferences.com